IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION No. 19085 of 2005

Between:

Sri Balaji Trading Company,

Partner ship Concern, D/o.148/1, Pedipalem Village,

Anandapuram Mandal,
Visakhapatnam district, Rep by its Partner

Mr.Chenna Prasad Rao

..... PETITIONER

AND

The Commissioner & Director of Marketing,

Govt of A.P., BRK Building,

Tank Bund Road, Hyderabad and 2 others

.....RESPONDENTS

ORDER:

Challenging the impugned proceedings No.7/SR/2004-2005, dated 08.08.2005, passed by the 3rd respondent, the present writ petition is filed.

Heard the learned counsel on either side.

The learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the order under challenge does not contain any reasons at all and for what reasons the license of the petitioner was cancelled were not made in the impugned proceedings, and also the statutory requirements for cancelling the license of the petitioner have not been followed.

On the other hand, the learned counsel for the respondents contended that against the impugned proceedings an effective alternative remedy of appeal is available under law before the competent authority and hence he prays to dismiss the writ petition.

As rightly contended by the learned counsel for the respondents that there is an alternative remedy of appeal is available to the petitioner, this Court cannot entertain this writ petition.

Therefore, the writ petition is dismissed. However, all the contentions raised in this writ petition are left open and the petitioner is at liberty to file alternative remedy of appeal as available under law before the competent authority against the impugned proceedings. No order as to costs.

_____

K.C. BHANU,J

Dated: 30.08.2005

Dsr